Surface Energy Data for PE: Polyethylene, CAS # 9002-88-4

Source ^(a)	Mst. Type ^(b)	Data©	Comments ^(d)
Fox, 1952 ⁽¹¹⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{c} = 31 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Test liquids not known.
Ellison, 1954 ⁽⁸⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{c}^{c} = 31 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Various test liquids.
Fort, 1964 ⁽¹⁷⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{c} = 28 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}$; 22°C, 65% RH	Test liquids: water, glycerol, and formamide.
Fowkes, 1964 ⁽⁷³⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{c}^{c} = 35 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}$; no temp cited	Test liquids not known.
Lee, 1968 ⁽¹³¹⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_c = 31 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Test liquids: water, glycerol, formamide, alcohols, and long- chain polyglycols; low density PE.
Lee, 1968 ⁽¹³¹⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{\rm c}$ = 31 mJ/m²; no temp cited	Test liquids: water, glycerol, formamide, alcohols, and long- chain polyglycols; high density PE.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{\rm c}=28.5~mJ/m^2;~25^{\rm o}C$	Ethylene glycol/2-ethoxyethanol mixes, based on advancing contact angles.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{\rm c} = 33 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 25°C	Ethylene glycol/2-ethoxyethanol mixes, based on retreating contact angles.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{c} = 31 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 25^{\circ}\text{C}$	Polyglycol blends, based on advancing contact angles.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{c}^{c} = 31 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 25^{\circ}\text{C}$	Polyglycol blends, based on retreating contact angles.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{\rm c} = 29 \ {\rm mJ/m^2}; \ 25^{\circ}{\rm C}$	Formamide/2-ethoxyethanol mixes, based on advancing contact angles.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_c = 32 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 25°C	Formamide/2-ethoxyethanol mixes, based on retreating contact angles.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{c} = 34 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 25^{\circ}\text{C}$	Per ASTM D-2578, using formamide/2-ethoxyethanol mixes.
Hamilton, $1972^{(74)}$	Critical ST	$\gamma_c = 33 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Test liquids not known.
Sharma, 1991 ⁽¹⁷⁵⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{\rm c}^{\rm c} = 31 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Per ASTM D-2578, using formamide/2-ethoxyethanol mixes; commercial low density PE film.
Bezigian, 1992	Critical ST	$\gamma_{\rm c}$ = 31 mJ/m²; no temp cited	Probably per ASTM D-2578, using formamide/2-ethoxyethanol mixes; low density PE.
Bezigian, 1992 ⁽⁷⁵⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_{\rm c}$ = 33 mJ/m ² ; no temp cited	Probably per ASTM D-2578, using formamide/2-ethoxyethanol mixes; low density PE degreased with 1,1,1,trichloroethane.
Markgraf, 2005 ⁽⁶²⁾	Critical ST	$\gamma_c = 30-31 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Test liquids not known.
Fox, 1952 ⁽¹¹⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{c} = 94^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C$	Surface formed by pressing the softened polymer against acid cleaned plate glass.
de Bruyne, 1957 ⁽³⁰⁰⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 89^{\circ}$; no temp cited	1 0
Fort, 1964 ⁽¹⁷⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{^{W}A} = 101^{\circ}, 22^{\circ}C, 65\% \text{ RH}$	
Schonhorn, 1966 ⁽²³⁸⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{WA} = 103^{\circ}$; no temp cited	
Schonhorn, 1966 ⁽²³⁷⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{WA} = 93^{\circ}$; no temp cited	
Zettlemoyer, 1968 ⁽²³⁹⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{WA} = 94^{\circ}$; no temp cited	
Owens, 1969 ⁽¹⁵⁵⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{WY} = 104^{\circ}$; no temp cited	
Petke, 1969 ⁽²³⁴⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{''A} = 96^{\circ}$; no temp cited	
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Contactangle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 95^{\circ}; 25^{\circ}C$	Measured by sessile drop method; cleaned with detergent and

Kaelble, 1971(104)	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 103^{\circ}; 22^{\circ}C$
Wu, 1971 ⁽²⁹⁾ Sowell, 1972 ⁽⁴⁸⁾ Tadros, 1974 ⁽²⁴¹⁾ Westerdahl, 1974 ⁽⁶³⁾ Westerdahl, 1974 ⁽⁶³⁾ Moshonov, 1980 ⁽¹¹⁸⁾	Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{W}^{Y} = 102^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C \\ \theta_{W}^{Y} = 97.5^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C \\ \theta_{W}^{A} = 88^{\circ}; \text{ no temp cited} \\ \theta_{W}^{Y} = 97^{\circ}; \text{ no temp cited} \\ \theta_{W}^{Y} = 96^{\circ}; \text{ no temp cited} \\ \theta_{W}^{Y} = 99^{\circ}; \text{ no temp cited} \end{array}$
Wu, 1982 ⁽²⁹⁸⁾ Wu, 1982 ⁽²⁷⁾ Triolo, 1983 ⁽¹⁸⁹⁾	Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{W}^{~~Y} = 94^{\circ}; no temp cited \\ \theta_{W}^{~~A} = 96^{\circ}, \theta_{W}^{~~R} = 62^{\circ}, d\theta_{W} = 34^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C \\ \theta_{W}^{~~R} = 87^{\circ}; no temp cited \end{array}$
Lelah, 1985 ⁽³⁰⁴⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 88.4^{\circ}$; no temp cited
Vargha-Butler, 1985 ⁽¹⁸⁰⁾ Guiseppe, 1986 ⁽⁷⁷⁾ Kasai, 1986 ⁽⁶⁴⁾ Strobel, 1987 ⁽⁸⁴⁾ Janczuk, 1989 ⁽¹⁰⁶⁾	Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{W}^{\ A} = 87.1^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C \\ \theta_{W}^{\ Y} = 95^{\circ}; \ no \ temp \ cited \\ \theta_{W}^{\ Y} = 100^{\circ}; \ no \ temp \ cited \\ \theta_{W}^{\ A} = 99^{\circ}; \ no \ temp \ cited \\ \theta_{W}^{\ Y} = 96.1^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C \end{array}$
Hsieh, 1991 ⁽²³¹⁾ Inagaki, 1991 ⁽²⁴⁰⁾ Sharma, 1991 ⁽¹⁷⁵⁾ Jonsson, 1992 ⁽¹¹²⁾	Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle	$\theta_W^{Y} = 95.6^{\circ}$; no temp cited $\theta_W^{Y} = 86^{\circ}$; no temp cited $\theta_W^{Y} = 92^{\circ}$; no temp cited $\theta_W^{Y} = 87^{\circ}$; no temp cited
Clouet, 1994 ⁽¹¹⁴⁾ Fukuzawa, 1994 ⁽¹¹³⁾ Inagaki, 1994 ⁽¹¹⁵⁾ Pilichowski, 1997 ⁽²²⁵⁾ Sheu, 1997 ⁽⁷⁹⁾ Sheu, 1997 ⁽⁷⁹⁾	Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \theta_{W}^{\ A} = 105^{\circ}; 23^{\circ}C \\ \theta_{W}^{\ Y} = 92.4^{\circ}; \ no \ temp \ cited \\ \theta_{W}^{\ A} = 102^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C \\ \theta_{W}^{\ Y} = 94^{\circ}; \ no \ temp \ cited \\ \theta_{W}^{\ Y} = 109^{\circ}; \ no \ temp \ cited \\ \theta_{W}^{\ Y} = 109^{\circ}; \ no \ temp \ cited \end{array}$
Chen, 1998(70)	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 104^{\circ}, \ \theta_{W}^{R} = 92^{\circ}, \ d\theta_{W} = 12^{\circ};$ no temp cited
Chen, 1998 ⁽⁷⁰⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 99^{\circ}, \ \theta_{W}^{R} = 89^{\circ}, \ d\theta_{W} = 10^{\circ};$ no temp cited
Chen, 1998 ⁽⁷⁰⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 91^{\circ}, \ \theta_{W}^{R} = 74^{\circ}, \ d\theta_{W} = 17^{\circ};$ no temp cited

rinsed with distilled water. Measured by sessile drop method; cleaned with detergent and rinsed with distilled water.

High density PE.

Commercial low density PE film, thickness 30 mils. Commercial high density PE film, thickness 9.2 mils. Measured 60 secs. after application of water droplet; surface cleaned with isopropanol at 60°C and rinsed with methanol. Branched PE.

Commercial grade low density PE film. Fully hydrated sample immersed in water; interface with advancing, submerged octane bubble.

Low density PE; underwater captive bubble method, measured on concave tubular surface. High density PE.

Dipped in CCl4, boiled in acetone, and ultrasonically rinsed with distilled water. PE fiber.

Commercial low density PE film. Natural low density PE, cleaned by sonification in a 70/30 ethanol/water solution and rinsed with distilled water. High density PE. Contact angle measured after stabilizing for 15 secs. Low density PE.

Porous PE sheet with average pore size of 15*u*m. Porous PE sheet with average pore size of 15*u*m; prewashed 3 times in distilled water Low density PE; no additives.

Low density PE with 2000 ppm erucamide.

Low density PE with 1000 ppm N-(2-hydroxyethyl) erucamide.

Chen, 1998 ⁽⁷⁰⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 93^{\circ}, \ \theta_{W}^{R} = 80^{\circ}, \ d\theta_{W} = 13^{\circ};$ no temp cited	Low density PE with 2000 ppm N-(2-hydroxyethyl) erucamide.
Angu, 2000 ⁽²²⁰⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 85.7^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Low density PE; underwater captive bubble method, measured on concave tubular surface; technique A.
Angu, 2000 ⁽²²⁰⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 88.4^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Low density PE; underwater captive bubble method, measured on concave tubular surface; technique B.
Etzler, 2000 ^(<u>171</u>)	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 98.0^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C$	Measured by Wilhelmy plate method.
Gotoh, 2000 ⁽¹⁷²⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{MA} = 110.7^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Single fiber measured by Wilhelmy plate technique.
Johansson, 2000 ⁽¹⁰¹⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{MA} = 93^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Low density PE.
Nalaskowski, 2000 ⁽²¹⁹⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 92^{\circ}, \ \theta_{W}^{R} = 77^{\circ}, \ d\theta_{W} = 15^{\circ};$ no temp cited	
Netravali, 2000 ⁽⁹⁸⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 93.4^{\circ}, \ \theta_{W}^{R} = 91.9^{\circ}, \ d\theta_{W} = 1.5^{\circ};$ no temp cited	Ultra-high strength PE fibers.
Starov, 2000 ⁽²⁸²⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 90^{\circ}$; no temp cited	PE powder pressed into wafer at 1 kg/cm², 110°C, cleaned with alcohol and water, soaked in 50°C sulfochromic acid, rinsed with distilled water, and dried with pure nitrogen.
Extrand, 2002 ⁽¹⁴³⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 104.4^{\circ}; \theta_{W}^{R} = 93.5^{\circ}, d\theta_{W} = 10.9^{\circ};$ 23°C	Measured by sessile drop method; high density PE cleaned with hexane and dried under vacuum.
Nam, 2002 ⁽¹⁴²⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 93.6^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Ultra-high strength PE fibers.
BPetermann, 2003 ⁽¹³⁹⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 79^{\circ}; 20^{\circ}C$	Measured by sessile drop method. Roll-coated polymer topcoat applied to carbon steel; surface degreased with ethanol, cleaned with detergent, and rinsed in distilled water.
Gotoh, 2004 ⁽⁹²⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 102.8^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Measured by sessile drop method.
Gotoh, 2004 ⁽⁹²⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 101^{\circ}; \theta_{W}^{R} = 88.9^{\circ}, d\theta_{W} = 12.1^{\circ};$ no temp cited	Measured by Wilhelmy plate method.
Zeng, 2004 ⁽⁹³⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{A} = 92.3^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Measured by Wilhelmy plate method; UHMWPE fiber (Spectra 1000).
Cho, 2005 ⁽²²⁶⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{Y} = 95^{\circ}$; no temp cited	Measured by sessile drop method.
Martinez-Garcia, 2005 ⁽²⁵¹⁾	Contact angle	$\theta_{W}^{''Y} = 92^{\circ}; 25^{\circ}C$	Injection molded elastomeric PE (Shore A hardness 75).
Shafrin, 1963 ⁽²⁰¹⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s}^{'} = 33.1 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 32.0, \gamma_{s}^{p} = 1.1);$ no temp cited	Test liquids not known.
Owens, 1969 ⁽¹⁵⁵⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 33.2 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 33.2, \gamma_{s}^{p} = 0.0);$ no temp cited	Test liquids: water and diiodomethane.
Dann, 1970 ⁽⁹⁴⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{\rm s}^{\rm d} = 36 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 25°C	Various test liquids.
Kaelble, 1971 ⁽¹⁰⁴⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s}^{2} = 31.4 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 30.9, \gamma_{s}^{p} = 0.5); 22^{\circ}\text{C}$	Various test liquids.
Wu, 1971 ⁽²⁹⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 36.1 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 35.4, \gamma_{s}^{p} = 0.7); 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Test liquids: water and diiodomethane, by harmonic mean equation.
Kitazaki, 1972 ⁽¹⁹¹⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 35.6 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 35.6, \gamma_{s}^{p} = 0.0);$ no temp cited	Various test liquids; original results split polar component into hydrogen- and non-hydrogen bonding parameters.
Sowell, 1972 ⁽⁴⁸⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 34.2 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Test liquids: water, glycerol, formamide, and tricresyl phosphate, by harmonic mean equation; high density PE.

Carroll, 1976 ⁽²¹⁶⁾ Wu, 1979 ⁽⁴⁵⁾	Contact angle Contact angle	$\gamma_{\rm s}$ = 36 mJ/m²; no temp cited $\gamma_{\rm c}$ = 35.9 mJ/m²; 20°C	Ultra-high modulus PE monofilament. Test liquids not known; calculated by the equation of state method.
Busscher, 1981(72)	Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{s}=32 \ mJ/m^{2} \ (\gamma_{s}{}^{\rm d}=29, \ \gamma_{s}{}^{\rm p}=3.0); \\ no \ temp \ cited \end{array}$	Test liquids: water and propanol.
Wu, 1982 ⁽²⁹⁸⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_c = 34.2 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Various test liquids, calculated by the equation of state method.
Vargha-Butler, 1985 ⁽¹⁸⁰⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{c} = 30.3 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Test liquids not known; calculated by the equation of state method; high density PE.
Inagaki, 1987 ⁽⁸²⁾	Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{s}=32.9 \ mJ/m^{2} \ (\gamma_{s}{}^{d}=30.7, \ \gamma_{s}{}^{p}=2.2); \\ no \ temp \ cited \end{array}$	Test liquids: water, glycerol, formamide, ethylene glycol, and tricresyl phosphate; calculated by Kaeble's method.
Fowkes, 1987 ⁽²³⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 33.0 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 33.0, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.0, \gamma_{s}^{+} = 0.0, \gamma_{s}^{-} = 0.0); 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Test liquids: water, alpha-bromonaphthalene, diiodomethane, formamide, and glycerin; acid-base analysis.
Janczuk, 1989 ⁽¹⁰⁶⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 32.8 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 32.1; \gamma_{s}^{p} = 0.7);$ no temp cited	Various test liquids, by geometric mean equation.
Janczuk, 1989 ⁽¹⁰⁸⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 34.0 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 33.3; \gamma_{s}^{p} = 0.7);$ no temp cited	Various test liquids, by harmonic-geometric mean equation.
Janczuk, 1989 ⁽¹⁰⁸⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 34.2 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{d} = 30.5; \gamma_{s}^{p} = 3.7);$ no temp cited	Various test liquids, by harmonic mean equation.
Janczuk, 1990 ⁽¹⁰⁵⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_s = 30.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Test liquids: water and diiodomethane.
Janczuk, 1990 ^(<u>105</u>)	Contact angle	$\gamma_s = 33.3 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Averaged over 28 test liquids.
Good, 1991 ⁽¹³⁵⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 33 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 33, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.0,$	Test liquids water and glycerol; acid-base analysis based on
		$\gamma_{s}^{+} = 0.0, \gamma_{s}^{-} = 0.1$; no temp cited	advancing contact angles. Commercial film grade PE.
Sharma, 1991 ⁽¹⁷⁵⁾	Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{s}=28.1 \ mJ/m^{2} \ (\gamma_{s}{}^{d}=25.4, \ \gamma_{s}{}^{p}=2.6); \\ no \ temp \ cited \end{array}$	Test liquids: water and diiodomethane; commercial low density PE film.
Fukuzawa, 1994 ⁽¹¹³⁾	Contact angle	$ \begin{split} \gamma_s &= 30.8 \ mJ/m^2 \ (\gamma_s^{\rm LW} = 31.0, \ \gamma_s^{\rm AB} = -0.2, \\ \gamma_s^{ *} &= 0.0, \ \gamma_s^{ *} = 4.2); \ no \ temp \ cited \end{split} $	Test liquids: water, formamide, and diiodomethane; acid-base analysis, calculated per Good and Van Oss ⁽⁸⁶⁾ . Contact angles measured after stabilizing for 15 secs.
Fukuzawa, 1994 ⁽¹¹³⁾	Contact angle	γ_s = 33.0 mJ/m ² ; no temp cited	Test liquids: water, formamide, and diiodomethane; acid-base analysis calculated by arithmetic and geometric means.
Micale, 1995 ⁽¹⁷⁴⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_s = 35 \text{ mJ/m}^2 (\gamma_s^{d} = 34; \gamma_s^{p} = 1);$ no temp cited	Test liquids: water and alpha-bromonaphthalene, by geometric mean equation.
Inagaki, 1996 ⁽⁸³⁾	Contact angle	$\begin{array}{l} \gamma_{s}=36 \ mJ/m^{2} \ (\gamma_{s}{}^{d}=30, \ \gamma_{s}{}^{p}=6); \\ no \ temp \ cited \end{array}$	Test liquids not known; low density PE.
Pilichowski, 1997 ⁽²²⁵⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_s = 34 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Various test liquids.
Morra, 1999 ⁽¹³⁴⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 34.2 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 34.2, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.0, \gamma_{s}^{+} = 0.0, \gamma_{s}^{-} = 0.0); \text{ no temp cited}$	Test liquids not known; acid-base analysis based on reference values for water of γ^{+} = 48.5 mJ/m ² and γ = 11.2 mJ/m ² .
Chang, 2000 ⁽¹⁶²⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_s = 32.0 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	
Della Volpe, 2000 ^(<u>163</u>)	Contact angle	$\gamma_s = 33.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Re-calculated from data produced by Janczuk, 1990 ⁽¹⁰⁵⁾ .
Etzler, 2000 ⁽¹⁷¹⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s}^{'} = 26.9 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 26.9, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.0, \gamma_{s}^{+} = 0.0, \gamma_{s}^{-} = 0.0); 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Various test liquids; acid-base analysis, by Good-van Oss method.
Gotoh, 2000 ⁽¹⁷²⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s}^{s} = 30.1 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 29.7, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.4,$	Test liquids: water, diiodomethane, and ethylene glycol; acid-

Grundke, 2000 ⁽²⁵⁶⁾ BPetermann, 2003 ⁽¹³⁹⁾	Contact angle Contact angle	$\gamma_{s}^{+} = 0.2, \gamma_{s}^{-} = 0.2$; no temp cited $\gamma_{s} = 33.8 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}$; no temp cited $\gamma_{s} = 39.9 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{-d} = 36.0; \gamma_{s}^{-p} = 3.9);$	base Wilhelmy plate analysis from advancing contact angles. Test liquids: water, diiodomethane, and formamide, measured
	0	20°C	by sessile drop method. Roll-coated polymer topcoat applied to carbon steel; surface degreased with ethanol, cleaned with detergent, and rinsed in distilled water.
Gotoh, 2004 ⁽⁹²⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s} = 28.1 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 27.4, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.7, \gamma_{s}^{+} = 0.1, \gamma_{s}^{-} = 1.2); \text{ no temp cited}$	Test liquids water, diiodomethane, and ethylene glycol; contact angles measured by sessile drop method; acid-base analysis.
Zeng, 2004 ⁽⁹³⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_{s}^{s} = 33.8 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 33.8, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.03, \gamma_{s}^{*} = 8.0 \text{E}^{-5}, \gamma_{s}^{*} = 2.3); \text{ no temp cited}$	Test liquids water, diiodomethane, and formamide. By sessile drop method; acid-base analysis. UHMWPE Spectra 1000 fiber.
Cho, 2005 ⁽²²⁶⁾	Contact angle	$\gamma_s = 34 \text{ mJ/m}^2 (\gamma_s^d = 30, \gamma_s^p = 4);$ no temp cited	Test liquids: water and formamide.
Hybart, 1960 ⁽²⁰⁸⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_{s} = 30.2 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Direct measurement of polymer melt by maximum bubble pressure, extrapolated to 20°C; linear high density PE.
Schonhorn, 1965(33)	From polymer melt	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 36.2 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Direct measurement of polymer melt extrapolated to 20°C.
Dettre, 1966 ⁽³⁰⁾	From polymer melt		Measurement by Wilhelmy plate of polymer melt extrapolated to 20°C; linear PE, $M_w = 67,000$.
Dettre, 1966 ⁽³⁰⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_s = 34.3 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Measurement by Wilhelmy plate of polymer melt extrapolated to 20° C; branched PE, M _n = 7,000.
Dettre, 1966 ⁽³⁰⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_{s}=33.7~mJ/m^{2}~(\gamma_{s}^{\rm ~d}=33.7,~\gamma_{s}^{\rm ~p}=0.0);~20^{\circ}C$	Direct measurement of polymer melt extrapolated to 20°C; polarity calculated from interfacial tension with PE by harmonic mean. Branched PE, $M_n = 2000$.
Roe, 1968 ⁽³²⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_s = 35.6 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Measurement by pendant drop of polymer melt extrapolated to 20° C; linear PE, M _w = 67,000.
Roe, 1968 ⁽³²⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_s = 34.8 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Measurement by pendant drop of polymer melt extrapolated to 20°C; branched PE, $M_n = 7,000$.
Wu, 1969 ⁽²⁸⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_{s}=35.7~mJ/m^{2}~(\gamma_{s}^{\rm ~d}=35.7,~\gamma_{s}^{\rm ~p}=0.0);~20^{\circ}C$	Measurement by pendant drop of polymer melt extrapolated to 20°C; polarity calculated from interfacial tension with PE by harmonic mean. Linear PE, $M_w = 67,000$.
Wu, 1971 ⁽²⁹⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_{s}=35.3~mJ/m^{2}~(\gamma_{s}^{\rm ~d}=35.3,~\gamma_{s}^{\rm ~p}=0.0);~20^{\rm o}C$	Direct measurement of polymer melt extrapolated to 20°C; polarity calculated from interfacial tension with PE by harmonic mean. Branched PE, $M_n = 7000$.
Wu, 1974 ⁽⁴⁷⁾	From polymer melt	$\gamma_{s}=36.8\ mJ/m^{2}\ (\gamma_{s}^{\rm ~d}=36.8,\ \gamma_{s}^{\rm ~p}=0.0);\ 20^{\rm o}C$	Direct measurement of polymer melt extrapolated to 20°C; polarity calculated from interfacial tension with PE by
Lee, 1968 ⁽¹³¹⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_{c} = 30 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}$; no temp cited	harmonic mean. Ideal PE, infinite molecular weight. Calculated from glass temperature of 237K; high density PE.
Lee, 1968 ⁽¹³¹⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_s = 23 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Calculated from glass temperature of 237K, ingridensity FE.
Wu, 1968 ⁽¹⁸²⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_s = 23 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Calculated from molecular constitution; linear PE.
Wu, 1968 ⁽¹⁸²⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_{s} = 32 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}$; 20°C $\gamma_{s} = 30 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}$; 20°C	Calculated from molecular constitution; branched PE.
Sewell, 1971 ⁽¹⁹³⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_s = 30$ mJ/m ² ; no temp cited	Calculated from parachor and cohesive energy.
Sewell, 1971	Calculated	$\gamma_s = 31.8 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Calculated by least squares from cohesive energy and molar
		-2	

			volume.
Wu, 1974 ^{(<u>47)</u>}	Calculated	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 36.9 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Calculated from free volume theory and molecular weight.
Wu, 1974 ^(<u>47</u>)	Calculated	$\gamma_{\rm c} = 37.8 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Calculated from free volume theory and molecular weight.
Wu, 1974 ^(<u>47</u>)	Calculated	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 35.3 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Calculated from parachor and molecular weight; linear PE.
Van Krevelen, 1976 ⁽⁸⁵⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_s = 31.5 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Calculated from parachor parameter.
Wu, 1979 ^{(<u>45)</u>}	Calculated	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 34.7 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Calculated from liquid homologs. Infinite molecular weight.
Wu, 1982 ⁽¹⁸⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_{s} = 32.1 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Calculated from cohesive energy density and solubility
		5	parameters.
Vargha-Butler, 1985(180)	Calculated	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 29.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Calculated from sedimentation volume; high density PE.
Van Ness, 1992 ⁽¹⁸⁶⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_{s} = 36.3 \text{ mJ/m}^{2}; 20^{\circ}\text{C}$	Calculated molten surface tension value, extrapolated to 20°C.
Netravali, 2000 ⁽⁹⁸⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_{s} = 30.8 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 30.5, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.2,$	Test liquids water, diiodomethane, and formamide. Surface
		$\gamma_{s}^{+} = 0.01, \gamma_{s}^{-} = 1.3$; no temp cited	tension calculated from advancing wetting force measurements.
			on ultra-high strength PE fibers; acid-base analysis.
Netravali, 2000 ⁽⁹⁸⁾	Calculated	$\gamma_{s} = 36.1 \text{ mJ/m}^{2} (\gamma_{s}^{LW} = 35.7, \gamma_{s}^{AB} = 0.4,$	Test liquids water, diiodomethane, and formamide. Surface
		$\gamma_s^+ = 0.6$, $\gamma_s^- = 0.07$); no temp cited	tension calculated from receding wetting force measurements.
			on ultra-high strength PE fibers; acid-base analysis.
Mangipudi, 2002(<u>147</u>)	Other	$\gamma_s = 33 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Measured by contact deformation per Johnson-Kendall-Roberts
			method.
Grundke, 2000 ⁽²⁵⁶⁾	Other	$\gamma_s = 34.4 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; no temp cited	Determined by capillary penetration into packed polymer
			powder.
Wu, 1982 ^(<u>49</u>)	Unknown	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 36.2 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Measurement method not cited; PE molded under nitrogen.
Wu, 1982 ^(<u>49</u>)	Unknown	$\gamma_{\rm s} = 36.2 \text{ mJ/m}^2$; 20°C	Measurement method not cited; PE molded against PTFE.

©2009 Diversified Enterprises